# Performance of rice and blackgram with different nutrient management practices in rainfed upland

A Mishra, B Behera, AK Pal, SK Mohanty, BS Rath, CR Subudhi, SC Nayak and N Sahoo All India Coordinated Research Project for Dryland Agriculture, OUAT, Phulbani - 762 001, Odisha

### ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted at Phulbani, Odisha to examine the performance of three cropping systems (sole rice, sole blackgram and rice + blackgram) with nine different manure and fertilizer treatments under rainfed upland condition. Different nutrient treatments include Control (Farmers' practice- no nutrient); 100% recommended N through inorganic fertilizer; 50% recommended N through inorganic fertilizer; 25kg N through FYM; 15kg N through FYM + 10kg N through inorganic fertilizer; 15kg N through FYM + 20kg N through green leaf + 10kg N through inorganic fertilizer; 15kg N through green leaf + 10kg N through inorganic fertilizer; 15kg N through green leaf + 10kg N through inorganic fertilizer; 15kg N through green leaf + 10kg N through FYM + 10kg N through green leaf. Based on the data on mean rice grain equivalent yield (REY) over 12 years from 1998 to 2009, cultivation of sole blackgram was found to be more remunerative (2.43 t ha<sup>-1</sup> REY) than sole rice (1.42 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) or rice + blackgram (5:2) (1.28 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). Considering the three cropping systems together, application of FYM to supply 15 kg N along with chemical fertilizer (urea) to supply 20 kg nitrogen + 40 kg  $P_2O_5$  + 40 kg  $K_2O$  was found to be the most effective (2.23 t ha<sup>-1</sup> REY) followed by the same dose of FYM with 10 kg N through fertilizer + 40 kg  $P_2O_5$  + 40 kg  $K_2O$  (2.19 t ha<sup>-1</sup>REY). The best treatment registered 37% higher REY over the recommended fertilizer dose.

Key words: upland rainfed rice, black gram, sole crop, intercrop, nutrient treatments

In spite of very high water requirement, rice is grown as a rainfed crop in over 65% of the cultivated areas of Odisha. Even in hilly districts of North Eastern Ghat Zone like Kandhamal, it is grown as a main crop under rainfed upland situation although such type of lands could be profitably diverted for different kharif pulses like pigeonpea, cowpea, green gram, black gram, etc. and oilseeds like groundnut, niger, sunflower, sesame, etc. The farmers of Kandhamal grow rice without manure and fertilizer application, thus realizing poor yield gradually over years. Rice, being a part of social and cultural life, can't be substituted to any significant extent and thus, rice-based intercropping systems particularly with pulses may be taken up as a choice.

The effect of long term use of chemical fertilizers and organic manures on crop yield and soil properties have been reported under different ricebased cropping systems with several fertilizer treatments. In soils with high organic matter or in high clay soils, nitrogen substitution by organic sources may not be possible without decreasing system productivity (Hegde, 1998). The importance of combined application of organic manure and chemical fertilizers for sustainable yield under rainfed upland situation has earlier been established from a 12 years' study at this centre (Mishra *et al.*, 2011). Integrated nutrient management practices help to increase efficiency of applied and native nutrients, improve soil health, economize fertilizer use and decrease nutrient losses resulting in high and sustainable agricultural production (Panda, 2005).

The soil of the experimental site was in high topography and of light texture resulting heavy run off and seepage, and rapid moisture scarcity even in short dry spells of rainy season. Although this site is unsuitable for rice cultivation, farmers of Kandhamal cultivate rice in such type of land over large acreage. In contrast, very few farmers of the district grow blackgram which seems quite ideal for rainfed uplands. Rice + Pigeonpea intercropping (5:2) system was studied earlier at this station with different nutrient management practices (Behera *et al.*, 2009). Keeping this in view, the current investigation was carried out to study relative profitability of rice and blackgram as sole and intercrop as well as to highlight the impact of different management practices for these three systems in red laterite acidic upland soil over years under varied crop seasonal rainfall in the N-E Ghat Zone of Odisha.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was undertaken in the Research Farm of AICRP on Dryland Agriculture, OUAT, Phulbani during wet seasons from 1998 to 2009 to investigate the long-term effect of chemical fertilizers and organic manures (applied in different combinations) on rice equivalent crop yield and rain water productivity of sole rice, sole blackgram and rice + blackgram intercrop in red laterite acidic upland soil under varied crop seasonal rainfall. The experiment was designed in split plot with three replications. There were nine nutrient treatments such as control (no nutrient); 100% Recommended (60kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) N through inorganic fertilizer  $(60 \text{kg N} + 40 \text{kg P}_2\text{O}_5 + 40 \text{kg K}_2\text{O} \text{ for rice and } 20 \text{kg})$ N+ 40 kg  $P_2O_5$  +40 kg K<sub>2</sub>O for black gram); 50% Recommended (30kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) N through inorganic fertilizer; 25kg N through FYM.; 15kg N through FYM + 10kg N through inorganic fertilizer; 15kg N through FYM + 20kg N through inorganic fertilizer; 15kg N through green leaf + 10kg N through inorganic fertilizer; 15kg N through green leaf + 20kg N through inorganic Fertilizer and 15kg N through FYM + 10kg N through green leaf.

The soil of research farm was sandy-loam in texture with less than 1.5m depth, acidic in reaction with a pH of 5.2 and possessed 0.32% organic carbon,  $20 \text{kg P}_2 \text{O}_5 \text{ha}^{-1}$  and  $220 \text{ kg K}_2 \text{O} \text{ ha}^{-1}$  (Table 1). Due to upland situation, a short durational variety ZHU 11-26 maturing within 90 days was chosen in this experiment. Black gram variety 'Ujala' was used both in sole and rice-based intercrop.

At Phulbani, onset of south-west monsoon normally occurs on 10<sup>th</sup> June which ceases on 6<sup>th</sup> October (Table 2). The mean annual rainfall is 1407.34 mm in 65 rainy days. At least 35 mm mean weekly rainfall with minimum 2 rainy days per week occurs between 24<sup>th</sup> standard meteorological week (SMW) to 39<sup>th</sup> SMW which falls between 11<sup>th</sup> June to 30<sup>th</sup>

|  | Table 1. | Initial s | oil pro | perties at | t the ext | perimental | site |
|--|----------|-----------|---------|------------|-----------|------------|------|
|--|----------|-----------|---------|------------|-----------|------------|------|

| Physical properties               |            | Chemical propertie         | S                       |
|-----------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Attribute                         | Value      | Attribute                  | Value                   |
| Sand (%)                          | 71.60      | pН                         | 5.2                     |
| Silt (%)                          | 14.00      | EC (dSm <sup>-1</sup> )    | 0.032                   |
| Clay (%)                          | 14.40      | Organic carbon(%)          | 0.32                    |
| Textural Class                    | Sandy-loam | Total N                    | 165 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> |
| Soil depth (m)                    | <1.5m      | Available $P_2O_5$         | 20 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>  |
| Bulk density (gcm <sup>-3</sup> ) | 1.63       | Available K <sub>2</sub> O | 220kg ha <sup>-1</sup>  |
| Field Capacity (%)                | 13.10      |                            |                         |
| Wilting point (%)                 | 9.50       |                            |                         |

September and this period was therefore considered as crop growing season in this experiment. Sowing was generally completed by the end of June in all the years so that the rice cv. ZHU 11-26 could be harvested within September. Proper agronomic practices were followed for raising the crop under rainfed situation and standard statistical methods were used for analyzing the data (Gomez and Gomez, 1981).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The rainfall during crop growing season (sowing to harvest) varied from 586.1 mm in 1998 to 2030.6 mm in 2006 (Table 3). During this period, the average crop seasonal rainfall was 1094.90 mm with standard deviation and coefficient of variation of 462.6mm and 42 %, respectively. When the monsoon months (June

Table 2. Normal weekly rainfall during crop growing season

| SMW | Period       | Rainfall (mm) | Rainy days |
|-----|--------------|---------------|------------|
| 24  | 11-17 Jun    | 60.10         | 2.45       |
| 25  | 18-24 Jun    | 51.10         | 2.70       |
| 26  | 25 Jun-1 Jul | 64.00         | 2.80       |
| 27  | 2-8 Jul      | 83.60         | 3.15       |
| 28  | 9-15 Jul     | 62.00         | 3.18       |
| 29  | 16-22 Jul    | 74.50         | 3.80       |
| 30  | 23-29 Jul    | 83.10         | 3.35       |
| 31  | 30Jul-5Aug   | 93.10         | 3.65       |
| 32  | 6- 12Aug     | 85.80         | 3.45       |
| 33  | 13-19 Aug    | 88.30         | 3.28       |
| 34  | 20-26 Aug    | 61.40         | 2.85       |
| 35  | 27 Aug-2 Sep | 102.70        | 3.43       |
| 36  | 3-9 Sep      | 55.90         | 2.90       |
| 37  | 10-16 Sep    | 78.20         | 2.93       |
| 38  | 17-23 Sep    | 44.20         | 2.25       |
| 39  | 24-30 Sep    | 35.70         | 2.05       |

to September) were taken into consideration, highest monthly rainfall was recorded in July (387.20 mm) followed by August (338.5 mm) (Fig. 1). The coefficient of variation during crop growing season was 42% which was lower than each of monsoon months showing more rainfall variation in a particular month than the total of crop season taken together.

Based on the yield data over 12 years from 1998 to 2009, sole black gram exhibited highest rice equivalent yield(REY) of 2.43 t ha<sup>-1</sup> followed by sole rice (1.42 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) while rice + black gram inter crop exhibited the lowest REY (1.28 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 4). There was significant variation among three main crop treatments in all the years. Sole black gram recorded 71.5% higher REY than sole rice and sole rice exhibited 10.6% higher REY than rice + black gram inter crop. Higher REY in sole black gram is attributed mostly to high market price (over three times than rice).

Significant variation among nine nutrient treatments was also recorded in most of the years. The treatment with 15kg N through FYM + 20kg N through inorganic fertilizer exhibited higher mean REY of 22.32 q/ha closely followed by 15kg N through FYM + 10kg N through inorganic fertilizer (2.19 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). The best treatment had 137% higher REY over control (0.94 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). The crop × nutrient interaction was not significant in many years. The application of FYM, vermicompost or green manure can reduce the NPK rate by 1/3 without reducing rice and wheat yields (Singh *et al.*, 2003). Similar result was also found by Barik *et al.*, 2006 who observed that application of 50%

Table 3. Rainfall variation during monsoon months from 1998to 2009

| Year    |        |       | Rainfall | Rainfall (mm) |           |  |  |  |
|---------|--------|-------|----------|---------------|-----------|--|--|--|
|         | C.S.R. | June  | July     | August        | September |  |  |  |
| 1998    | 586.1  | 84.0  | 225.6    | 191.5         | 227.0     |  |  |  |
| 1999    | 611.6  | 206.0 | 367.8    | 134.2         | 269.4     |  |  |  |
| 2000    | 701.0  | 144.0 | 279.0    | 273.0         | 126.0     |  |  |  |
| 2001    | 1537.1 | 504.9 | 797.6    | 300.1         | 124.7     |  |  |  |
| 2002    | 672.9  | 149.0 | 129.0    | 329.0         | 134.9     |  |  |  |
| 2003    | 949.0  | 117.0 | 237.0    | 358.1         | 350.1     |  |  |  |
| 2004    | 756.0  | 188.0 | 364.0    | 242.0         | 229.0     |  |  |  |
| 2005    | 1224.7 | 94.0  | 500.3    | 139.8         | 572.4     |  |  |  |
| 2006    | 2030.6 | 297.7 | 412.5    | 987.2         | 176.0     |  |  |  |
| 2007    | 1580.2 | 424.0 | 188.4    | 363.8         | 465.4     |  |  |  |
| 2008    | 1134.7 | 270.0 | 263.0    | 422.4         | 449.3     |  |  |  |
| 2009    | 1354.6 | 124.6 | 881.6    | 321.4         | 220.2     |  |  |  |
| Mean    | 1094.9 | 216.9 | 387.2    | 338.5         | 278.7     |  |  |  |
| Σ       | 462.6  | 133.9 | 235.3    | 223.0         | 148.4     |  |  |  |
| C.V.(%) | 42     | 62    | 61       | 66            | 53        |  |  |  |

C.S.R.= Crop seasonal rainfall (mm);  $\sigma$  = Standard Deviation; C.V.= Coefficient of variation(%)

recommended fertilizer in combination with vermicompost at 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> significantly improved the growth and yield attributes of rice compared with the application of 100% recommended fertilizer. Gupta *et al.*, 2006 also observed that substitution of 50% N by green leaf manuring with sunnhemp (*Crotalaria juncea*) attained the highest system productivity of rice (*Oryza sativa*)-wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system.



Fig. 1. Normal weekly rainfall during crop growing season

| Iggs19992000200120022003Main treatments $C_1$ -Rice $0.97$ $1.29$ $2.57$ $1.98$ $1.14$ $0.87$ $C_1$ -Rice $C_2$ -Blackgram $1.75$ $1.75$ $1.75$ $1.77$ $1.40$ $0.87$ $C_2$ -Rice+Blackgram $1.75$ $1.75$ $1.75$ $1.77$ $1.40$ $0.87$ $C_2$ -Rice+Blackgram $1.75$ $1.75$ $1.75$ $1.77$ $1.43$ $1.26$ $C_3$ -Rice+Blackgram $1.75$ $1.75$ $1.76$ $0.77$ $1.43$ $1.26$ $C_3$ -Rice+Blackgram $1.75$ $1.75$ $1.77$ $1.40$ $0.69$ $C_3$ -Rice+Blackgram $1.75$ $1.26$ $0.50$ $0.81$ $0.69$ Sub-treatments $1.21$ $2.27$ $1.65$ $0.50$ $0.81$ $0.69$ Sub-treatments $1.21$ $2.27$ $1.65$ $0.50$ $0.81$ $0.69$ Control (no nutrient) $1.21$ $2.27$ $1.65$ $0.50$ $0.81$ $0.69$ $100\%$ Recommended ( $60$ kg/ha) N through inorganic $1.21$ $2.54$ $2.64$ $1.73$ $1.70$ $1.07$ $50\%$ Recommended ( $30$ kg/ha) N through inorganic $1.69$ $3.09$ $2.27$ $1.16$ $1.07$ $50\%$ Recommended ( $30$ kg/ha) N through inorganic $1.97$ $3.10$ $2.29$ $1.10$ $1.07$ $50\%$ Recommended ( $30$ kg/ha) N through inorganic $1.97$ $3.10$ $2.29$ $1.10$ $1.26$ $50\%$ Recommended ( $30$ kg/ha) N through inorganic $1.97$ $3.10$ <t< th=""><th>2000     2001       2.57     1.98       3.35     1.51       1.76     0.77       0.64     0.74       0.65     0.50       2.64     1.73</th><th>2002<br/>1.14<br/>1.77<br/>1.43<br/>0.48<br/>0.81</th><th>2003 2<br/>0.87<br/>1.40<br/>0.58<br/>0.58<br/>0.69<br/>0.69</th><th>2004<br/>1.39<br/>2.82<br/>1.39<br/>0.16</th><th>2005</th><th>2006</th><th>2007</th><th>2008</th><th>2009</th><th>Mean</th><th>(%) AJ</th></t<>  | 2000     2001       2.57     1.98       3.35     1.51       1.76     0.77       0.64     0.74       0.65     0.50       2.64     1.73 | 2002<br>1.14<br>1.77<br>1.43<br>0.48<br>0.81                               | 2003 2<br>0.87<br>1.40<br>0.58<br>0.58<br>0.69<br>0.69                          | 2004<br>1.39<br>2.82<br>1.39<br>0.16 | 2005   | 2006 | 2007   | 2008          | 2009 | Mean  | (%) AJ |
|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------------|--------|------|--------|---------------|------|-------|--------|
| Main treatments0.971.292.571.981.140.87 $C_1$ -Rice $C_2$ -Blackgram $2.666$ $6.15$ $3.35$ $1.51$ $1.77$ $1.40$ $C_3$ -Rice+Blackgram $1.75$ $1.75$ $1.76$ $0.77$ $1.43$ $1.26$ $C_3$ -Rice+Blackgram $1.72$ $1.21$ $2.27$ $1.65$ $0.81$ $0.69$ $C_3$ -Rice+Blackgram $1.21$ $2.27$ $1.65$ $0.74$ $0.81$ $0.69$ $Sub-treatments1.212.271.650.500.810.69Sub-treatments1.212.271.650.500.810.69100\% Recommended (60kg/ha) N through inorganic1.212.271.650.771.701.0750\% Recommended (30kg/ha) N through inorganic1.693.092.271.161.731.701.0750\% Recommended (30kg/ha) N through inorganic1.693.092.281.101.2850\% Recommended (30kg/ha) N through inorganic1.693.092.271.101.2050\% Recommended (30kg/ha) N through inorganic1.973.102.561.801.571.2650\% Recommended (30kg/ha) N thr$  | 2.57 1.98<br>8.35 1.51<br>1.76 0.77<br>0.64 0.74<br>1.65 0.50<br>2.64 1.73  | 1.14<br>1.77<br>1.43<br>0.48<br>0.81                                       | 0.87<br>1.40<br>1.26<br>0.58<br>0.58<br>0.69                                    | 1.39<br>2.82<br>1.39<br>0.16         |        |      |        |               |      | TWATT |        |
|   | 2.57 1.98<br>3.35 1.51<br>1.76 0.77<br>0.64 0.74<br>1.65 0.50<br>2.64 1.73  | $ \begin{array}{c} 1.14\\ 1.77\\ 1.43\\ 0.48\\ 0.81\\ 1.70\\ \end{array} $ | 0.87<br>1.40<br>1.26<br>0.58<br>0.58<br>0.69                                    | 1.39<br>2.82<br>1.39<br>0.16         |        |      |        |               |      |       |        |
| $C_2$ -Blackgram2.666.153.351.511.771.40 $C_3$ -Rice + Blackgram1.751.751.760.771.431.26 $C_3$ -Rice + Blackgram1.751.751.760.771.431.26CD (P=0.05)0.991.130.640.771.430.58Sub-treatments1.212.271.650.500.810.69Sub-treatments1.212.271.650.500.810.69Control (no nutrient)1.212.271.650.500.810.69100% Recommended (60kg/ha) N through inorganic1.212.271.650.771.701.076frilizer (60kg N+ 40 kg $P_2O_5+40$ kg $K_2O$ for black gram)1.722.542.641.731.701.0750% Recommended (30kg/ha) N through inorganic1.693.092.271.151.351.1050% Recommended (30kg/ha) N through inorganic1.693.092.271.151.351.1050% Recommended (30kg/ha) N through inorganic1.693.092.271.151.2655kg N through FYM + 10kg N through inorganic1.693.092.271.161.391.2655kg N through FYM + 20kg N through inorganic2.063.813.252.141.801.5315kg N through green leaf + 10kg N through1.943.613.232.741.771.771.7015kg N through green leaf + 10kg N through1.903.132.771.77<  | 3.35     1.51       1.76     0.77       0.64     0.74       0.65     0.50       1.65     0.50   | 1.77<br>1.43<br>0.48<br>0.81   | 1.40           1.26           0.58           0.58           0.69           1.07 | 2.82<br>1.39<br>0.16                 | 06.0   | 1.88 | 1.25   | 1.32          | 1.40 | 1.42  | 35.27  |
| $ \begin{array}{c} C_{3}^{-} \text{Rice + Blackgram} & 1.75 & 1.75 & 1.76 & 0.77 & 1.43 & 1.26 \\ \text{CD} (P=0.05) & 0.99 & 1.13 & 0.64 & 0.74 & 0.48 & 0.58 \\ \text{Sub-treatments} & 1.21 & 2.27 & 1.65 & 0.50 & 0.81 & 0.69 \\ \text{Sub-treatment} & 1.21 & 2.27 & 1.65 & 0.50 & 0.81 & 0.69 \\ 100\% \text{ Recommended } (60\text{kg/ha}) \text{ N through inorganic} \\ \text{fertilizer } (60\text{kg N} + 40\text{ kg P}_{2}\text{O}_{5} + 40\text{ kg K}_{5}\text{O} \text{ for rice} \\ \text{and } 20\text{kg N} + 40\text{kg P}_{5}\text{O}_{5} + 40\text{ kg K}_{5}\text{O} \text{ for rice} \\ \text{and } 20\text{kg N} + 40\text{kg P}_{5}\text{O}_{5} + 40\text{kg K}_{5}\text{O} \text{ for rice} \\ \text{and } 20\text{kg N} + 40\text{kg P}_{5}\text{O}_{5} + 40\text{ kg K}_{5}\text{O} \text{ for rice} \\ \text{and } 20\text{kg N} + 40\text{kg P}_{5}\text{O}_{5} + 40\text{ kg K}_{5}\text{O} \text{ for rice} \\ \text{and } 20\text{kg N} + 40\text{kg P}_{5}\text{O}_{5} + 40\text{ kg K}_{5}\text{O} \text{ for rice} \\ \text{and } 20\text{kg N} + 40\text{kg P}_{5}\text{O}_{5} + 40\text{ kg K}_{5}\text{O} \text{ for rice} \\ \text{and } 20\text{kg N} + 40\text{kg P}_{5}\text{O}_{5} + 40\text{ kg K}_{5}\text{O} \text{ for rice} \\ \text{and } 20\text{kg N} + 40\text{kg P}_{5}\text{O}_{5} + 40\text{ kg K}_{5}\text{O} \text{ for rice} \\ \text{and } 20\text{kg N} + 40\text{kg P}_{5}\text{O}_{5} + 40\text{ kg K}_{5}\text{O} \text{ for rice} \\ \text{and } 20\text{kg N} + 40\text{kg P}_{5}\text{O}_{5} + 40\text{ kg K}_{5}\text{O} \text{ for rice} \\ \text{and } 20\text{kg N} \text{ through FYM} + 10\text{kg N through inorganic} \\ 1.69 & 3.09 & 2.27 & 1.16 & 1.39 & 1.28 \\ 15\text{ kg N through FYM} + 20\text{kg N through inorganic} \\ 1.94 & 3.61 & 3.25 & 2.14 & 1.80 & 1.57 \\ 1.6\text{ fertilizer} \\ 1.86 \text{ N through green leaf + 10\text{kg N through} \\ 1.90 & 3.13 & 2.47 & 1.77 & 1.47 & 1.70 \\ 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 \\ 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 \\ 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 \\ 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 \\ 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 \\ 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 \\ 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 \\ 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 \\ 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 \\ 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 \\ 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 \\ 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 \\ 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 \\ 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 \\ 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 \\ 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 \\ 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 \\ 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 & 1.70 $ | 1.76 0.77<br>0.64 0.74<br>1.65 0.50<br>2.64 1.73  | 1.43<br>0.48<br>0.81   | 0.58 0.58 0.69 0.69 0.69  | 1.39<br>0.16                         | 1.65   | 3.05 | 2.44   | 1.14          | 1.13 | 2.43  | 57.72  |
| $ \begin{array}{c} \text{CD} \ (\text{P=0.05}) \\ \text{Sub-treatments} \\ \text{Sub-treatments} \\ \text{Sub-treatments} \\ \text{Control} \ (\text{no nutrient}) \\ \text{Io0\%} \ \text{Recommended} \ (60 \text{kg/ha}) \ \text{N through inorganic} \\ \text{fertilizer} \ (60 \text{kg} \ \text{N} + 40 \text{ kg} \ \text{P}_2 \ \text{O}_s + 40 \text{ kg} \ \text{K}_2 \ \text{O} \ \text{for rice} \\ \text{and } 20 \text{kg} \ \text{N} + 40 \text{kg} \ \text{P}_2 \ \text{O}_s + 40 \text{ kg} \ \text{K}_2 \ \text{O} \ \text{for rice} \\ \text{and } 20 \text{kg} \ \text{N} + 40 \text{kg} \ \text{P}_2 \ \text{O}_s + 40 \text{ kg} \ \text{K}_2 \ \text{O} \ \text{for rice} \\ \text{and } 20 \text{kg} \ \text{N} + 40 \text{kg} \ \text{P}_2 \ \text{O}_s + 40 \text{kg} \ \text{K}_2 \ \text{O} \ \text{for black} \ \text{gram} ) \ 1.72 \ 2.54 \ 2.64 \ 1.73 \ 1.70 \ 1.07 \\ \text{S0\%} \ \text{Recommended} \ (30 \text{kg/ha}) \ \text{N through inorganic} \\ \text{fertilizer} \ 1.69 \ 3.09 \ 2.27 \ 1.15 \ 1.35 \ 1.10 \\ 2.5 \text{kg} \ \text{through} \ \text{FYM} \ 1.97 \ 3.10 \ 2.29 \ 1.10 \ 1.39 \ 1.28 \\ 1.5 \text{Kg} \ \text{through} \ \text{through inorganic} \\ 1.67 \ 3.10 \ 2.29 \ 1.10 \ 1.39 \ 1.28 \\ 1.5 \text{Kg} \ \text{through} \ \text{through} \ \text{inorganic} \\ 1.97 \ 3.10 \ 2.29 \ 1.10 \ 1.39 \ 1.28 \\ 1.5 \text{Kg} \ \text{through} \ \text{through} \ \text{inorganic} \\ 1.94 \ 3.61 \ 3.25 \ 2.14 \ 1.80 \ 1.57 \ 1.26 \\ 1.5 \text{Kg} \ \text{through} \ \text{green leaf} + 10 \text{kg} \ \text{through} \ \text{through} \ \text{through} \ 1.94 \ 3.61 \ 3.25 \ 2.14 \ 1.80 \ 1.57 \\ 1.26 \\ 1.5 \text{Kg} \ \text{through} \ \text{green leaf} + 10 \text{kg} \ \text{through} \ 1.94 \ 3.13 \ 2.14 \ 1.80 \ 1.57 \\ 1.20 \ 1.51 \ 1.50$  | ).64 0.74<br>1.65 0.50<br>2.64 1.73   | 0.48<br>0.81   | 0.58 (0.69 (1.07  | 016                                  | 1.12   | 1.71 | 0.89   | 0.74          | 0.76 | 1.28  | 32.34  |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | 1.65 0.50<br>2.64 1.73  | 0.81   | 0.69 0  | ~ • • •                              | 0.26   | 0.09 | 0.08   | 0.08          | 0.04 | ı     |        |
| $ \begin{array}{c cccc} \mbox{Control} (no nutrient) & 1.21 & 2.27 & 1.65 & 0.50 & 0.81 & 0.69 \\ \mbox{100\%} \mbox{Recommended} (60 \mbox{g} \mbox{h}a) \mbox{N} \mbox{Hrough} in organic \\ \mbox{fertilizer} (60 \mbox{k} \mbox{M} + 40 \mbox{k} \mbox{g} \mbox{P}_{2} \mbox{O}_{5} + 40 \mbox{k} \mbox{g} \mbox{K}_{5} \mbox{O} \mbox{for black} \mbox{gram}) & 1.72 & 2.54 & 2.64 & 1.73 & 1.70 & 1.07 \\ \mbox{50\%} \mbox{Recommended} (30 \mbox{k} \mbox{M} \mbox{m} \mbox{N} \mbox{Hrough} \mbox{inorganic} & 1.69 & 3.09 & 2.27 & 1.15 & 1.35 & 1.10 \\ \mbox{56} \mbox{Recommended} (30 \mbox{k} \mbox{M} \mbox{m} \mbox{N} \mbox{Hrough} \mbox{inorganic} & 1.69 & 3.09 & 2.27 & 1.15 & 1.35 & 1.10 \\ \mbox{57} \mbox{K} \mbox{M} \mbox{Hrough} \mbox{Hrough} \mbox{inorganic} & 1.97 & 3.10 & 2.29 & 1.10 & 1.39 & 1.28 \\ \mbox{15} \mbox{K} \mbox{M} \mbox{Hrough} \mbox{inorganic} & 2.06 & 3.81 & 3.25 & 1.80 & 1.57 & 1.26 \\ \mbox{15} \mbox{K} \mbox{M} \mbox{Hrough} \mbox{inorganic} & 2.06 & 3.81 & 3.25 & 2.14 & 1.80 & 1.53 \\ \mbox{15} \mbox{K} \mbox{M} \mbox{Hrough} \mbox{inorganic} & 1.94 & 3.61 & 3.25 & 2.14 & 1.80 & 1.53 \\ \mbox{15} \mbox{K} \mbox{M} \mbox{Hrough} \mbox{Hrough} \mbox{inorganic} & 1.94 & 3.61 & 3.25 & 2.14 & 1.80 & 1.53 \\ \mbox{15} \mbox{K} \mbox{M} \mbox{Hrough} \mb$  | 1.65 0.50<br>2.64 1.73  | 0.81   | 0.69 (0.107   |                                      |        |      |        |               |      |       |        |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | 2.64 1.73   | 1.70   | 1 07  | 0.89                                 | 0.61   | 1.06 | 0.77   | 0.37          | 0.41 | 0.94  | 59.03  |
| and 20kg N+40kg $P_2O_5^{-40}R_5^{-2}$ for black gram) 1.72 2.54 2.64 1.73 1.70 1.07<br>50% Recommended (30kg/ha) N through inorganic<br>fertilizer 1.69 3.09 2.27 1.15 1.35 1.10<br>25kg N through FYM + 10kg N through inorganic<br>fertilizer 2.06 3.81 3.25 1.80 1.57 1.26<br>15kg N through FYM + 20kg N through inorganic<br>fertilizer 1.94 3.61 3.25 2.14 1.80 1.53<br>15kg N through green leaf + 10kg N through inorganic<br>for this of the tribit of through through inorganic<br>for the tribit of through inorganic 1.94 3.61 3.25 2.14 1.80 1.53<br>15kg N through green leaf + 10kg N through inorganic 1.94 3.13 2.13 1.70 1.30  | 2.64 1.73   | 1.70   | 1 07  |                                      |        |      |        |               |      |       |        |
| 50% Recommended (30kg/ha) N through inorganic       1.69       3.09       2.27       1.15       1.35       1.10         fertilizer       1.97       3.10       2.29       1.10       1.39       1.28         25kg N through FYM       1.97       3.10       2.29       1.10       1.39       1.28         15kg N through FYM       10kg N through inorganic       2.06       3.81       3.25       1.80       1.57       1.26         15kg N through FYM       20kg N through inorganic       2.06       3.81       3.25       2.14       1.80       1.53         15kg N through FYM       1.0kg N through inorganic       1.94       3.61       3.25       2.14       1.80       1.53         15kg N through green leaf + 10kg N through       1.94       3.61       3.25       2.14       1.80       1.53         15kg N through green leaf + 10kg N through       1.94       3.61       3.25       2.14       1.80       1.53  |   |  |   | 1.83                                 | 1.12   | 1.75 | 1.40   | 0.98          | 1.00 | 1.62  | 33.89  |
| fertilizer       1.69       3.09       2.27       1.15       1.35       1.10         25kg N through FYM       1.97       3.10       2.29       1.10       1.39       1.28         15kg N through FYM       10kg N through inorganic       1.97       3.10       2.29       1.10       1.39       1.28         15kg N through FYM       10kg N through inorganic       2.06       3.81       3.25       1.80       1.57       1.26         15kg N through FYM       20kg N through inorganic       2.06       3.81       3.25       2.14       1.80       1.53         15kg N through green leaf + 10kg N through       1.94       3.61       3.25       2.14       1.80       1.53         15kg N through green leaf + 10kg N through       1.94       3.61       3.25       2.14       1.80       1.53         15kg N through green leaf + 10kg N through       1.94       3.61       3.25       2.14       1.80       1.53  |   |  |   |                                      |        |      |        |               |      |       |        |
| 25kg N through FYM = 1.04 2.29 1.10 1.39 1.28<br>15kg N through FYM + 10kg N through inorganic<br>fertilizer 2.06 3.81 3.25 1.80 1.57 1.26<br>15kg N through FYM + 20kg N through inorganic<br>fertilizer 1.94 3.61 3.25 2.14 1.80 1.53<br>15kg N through green leaf + 10kg N through 1.94 3.13 7.17 1.47 1.40 1.50<br>inorganic fertilizer 1.99 3.13 7.47 1.47 1.40 1.50   | 2.27 1.15   | 1.35   | 1.10  | 1.48                                 | 0.92   | 1.65 | 1.27   | 0.91          | 0.94 | 1.49  | 43.08  |
| 15kg N through FYM + 10kg N through inorganic       2.06       3.81       3.25       1.80       1.57       1.26         15kg N through FYM + 20kg N through inorganic       2.06       3.81       3.25       1.80       1.57       1.26         15kg N through FYM + 20kg N through inorganic       1.94       3.61       3.25       2.14       1.80       1.53         15kg N through green leaf + 10kg N through       1.94       3.61       3.25       2.14       1.80       1.53         15kg N through green leaf + 10kg N through       1.94       3.61       3.25       2.14       1.80       1.53   | 2.29 1.10   | 1.39   | 1.28  | 2.26                                 | 1.59   | 2.78 | 1.86   | 1.41          | 1.43 | 1.87  | 33.38  |
| Intuitizer 2.00 5.01 5.23 1.50 1.57 1.20<br>15kg N through FYM + 20kg N through inorganic<br>fertilizer 1.94 3.61 3.25 2.14 1.80 1.53<br>15kg N through green leaf + 10kg N through 1.94 3.13 7.47 1.77 1.42 1.20<br>inorconic fertilizer   | 1 80  | 53 1   | 201   | 300                                  | ,<br>, |      | 101    | 000           | 1 40 |       | 00.00  |
| 15kg N through FYM + 20kg N through inorganic<br>fertilizer<br>15kg N through green leaf + 10kg N through<br>inorganic fertilizer 1 7 1 42 1 20<br>inorganic fertilizer   | 08.1 02.6   | / C. I   | 1.20  | CC.7                                 | 1.4/   | 2.95 | 1.97   | 1.38          | I.40 | 2.19  | 90.65  |
| 15kg N through green leaf + 10kg N through 13 3 13 3 2 47 1 77 1 42 1 20 invroanic fertilizer   | 3.25 2.14   | 1.80   | 1.53  | 2.50                                 | 1.81   | 2.81 | 1.91   | 1.67          | 1.74 | 2.23  | 30.10  |
| inorganic fartilizer 1 00 2 1 2 0 2 1 7 1 7 1 2 1 20  |   |  |   | -                                    |        |      |        | 1             |      |       |        |
|   | 2.47 1.77   | 1.42   | 1.20  | 1.98                                 | 1.18   | 2.25 | 1.41   | 0.85          | 0.91 | 1.71  | 39.78  |
| 15kg N through green leaf + 20kg N through  |   |  |   | 2                                    | 5      |      | נ<br>נ |               |      |       |        |
| inorganic Fertuizer 1.94 5.16 3.01 1.72 1.72 1.42   | <b>5.01</b> 1.72  | 1.72   | 747   | 7.01                                 | 1.5.1  | 7.00 | cc.1   | c <i>k</i> .0 | 16.0 | 1.82  | 38.21  |
| 15kg N through FYM + 10kg N through green leaf 1.62 2.93 2.24 1.16 1.32 1.07  | 2.24 1.16   | 1.32   | 1.07  | 1.51                                 | 1.01   | 2.63 | 1.61   | 1.09          | 1.09 | 1.61  | 40.46  |
| CD ( P=0.05) NS 0.69 0.35 0.47 0.32 NS  | 0.35 0.47   | 0.32   | NS  | 0.51                                 | 0.47   | 0.26 | 0.19   | 0.10          | 0.10 | ı     |        |
| CD (P= 0.05) for interaction NS NS NS NS NS NS NS   | NS NS   | NS   | NS  | 0.88                                 | 0.82   | 0.47 | 0.33   | 0.18          | 0.06 | ı     |        |

 Table 4. Effect of different treatments on rice equivalent grain yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>) during 1998-2009

Performance of rice and blackgram in upland

A Mishra et. al

Among cropping systems, sole black gram recorded highest C.V. while among nutrient treatments control (no nutrient) exhibited highest C.V. with respect to REY. The rain water productivity was found to be in accordance to rice equivalent yield. Sole black gram exhibited highest mean rain water productivity of 2.22 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>-mm while it was lowest in rice + black gram inter crop (Table 5). Among nutrient treatments, 15kg N through FYM + 20kg N through inorganic fertilizer recorded highest REY of 2.04 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>-mm closely followed by 15kg N through FYM + 10kg N through inorganic fertilizer (2.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>-mm). In earlier studies, INM practices have also been found to decrease dependence on chemical fertilizers, increase of crop yield and higher benefit: cost ratio as compared to application of chemical fertilizer alone (Medhi et al., 2002; Jeyabal et al., 1999). The coefficient of variation (C.V.) for rain water productivity was also highest in sole black gram among cropping systems and in control among nutrient treatments.

The data on REY and rain water productivity gives clear picture on justification for adopting suitable cropping system and nutrient management in rainfed upland ecosystem. The result shows that black gram cultivation is more profitable than sole rice or rice + black gram inter-crop based on rice equivalent yield and more eco-friendly, based on rain water productivity particularly in rainfed uplands of N-E ghat zone of Odisha.

The effect of different nutrient treatments on the soil physico-chemical properties indicated that the water holding capacity increased in all the nutrient treatments over control (Table 6). Highest water holding capacity of 29.8% was recorded in the treatment with 15 kg N through FYM + 20 kg N through urea. The pH marginally decreased in completely chemical treatment but remained same or marginally increased when organic manure was added along with fertilizers. Singh et al., 2002 also observed that the soil bulk density and pH were reduced under nutrient management practices compared to control. The electrical conductivity of 100% chemical (RDF) was the highest (0.051 dS m<sup>-1</sup>) while it was lowest in the control (0.032 dS m<sup>-1</sup>) clearly indicating influence of added nutrients on increasing electrical conductivity. The organic matter content

| Table 5. | Effect of different cropping systems and nutrient treatments on rain water productivity (kg/ha-mm) |
|----------|--|
|          |  |

| ′ (%)   |
|---|
|   |
|   |
| .88   |
| .78   |
| .14   |
|   |
| .73   |
| .57   |
|   |
| 40  |
| .86   |
|   |
| 67  |
|   |
| .04   |
|   |
| 01  |
|   |
| 07  |
|   |
| 13  |
| 88<br>78<br>14<br>.73<br>.57<br>.40<br>.86<br>.67<br>.02<br>.01<br>.01<br>.01 |

RDN - recommended dose (60 kg ha-1) of N

#### Performance of rice and blackgram in upland

| Nutrient treatments  |       | WHC  | pН  | EC        | 0.C.   | Available nutrients (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |                               |                  |
|--|-------|------|-----|-----------|--------|--|-------------------------------|------------------|
|  | (gee) | (70) |     | (us III ) | (g kg) | Ν  | P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> | K <sub>2</sub> O |
| Control  | 1.45  | 24.9 | 5.1 | 0.032     | 3.2    | 120  | 13.7                          | 121              |
| 100% RDF   | 1.40  | 27.5 | 4.9 | 0.051     | 3.8    | 170  | 22.9                          | 257              |
| 50% RDF  | 1.38  | 27.3 | 5.0 | 0.045     | 3.5    | 150  | 19.2                          | 247              |
| 25kg N (FYM) + 40 kg $P_2O_5$ +40 kg $K_2O$                        | 1.10  | 28.2 | 5.2 | 0.035     | 4.7    | 140  | 16.5                          | 254              |
| 15kg N (FYM)+ 10kg N (urea) + 40 kg $P_2O_5$ +40 kg $K_2O$         | 1.21  | 27.9 | 5.1 | 0.043     | 4.5    | 145  | 19.1                          | 278              |
| 15kg N (FYM)+ 20kg N (urea) + 40 kg $P_2O_5$ +40 kg $K_2O$         | 1.18  | 29.8 | 5.2 | 0.041     | 4.4    | 165  | 20.2                          | 254              |
| 15kg N (green leaf)+ 10kg N (urea) + 40 kg $P_2O_5$ + 40 kg $K_2O$ | 1.30  | 27.6 | 5.2 | 0.042     | 4.6    | 135  | 19.2                          | 182              |
| 15kg N (green leaf)+ 20kg N (urea) + 40 kg $P_2O_5$ +40 kg $K_2O$  | 1.34  | 27.5 | 5.3 | 0.040     | 4.5    | 145  | 17.2                          | 178              |
| 15kg N (FYM)+ 10kg N (green leaf) + 40 kg $P_2O_5$ +40 kg $K_2O$   | 1.20  | 27.4 | 5.1 | 0.038     | 4.4    | 130  | 18.8                          | 169              |

 Table 6. Effect of different nutrient treatments on Physico-chemical properties of soil

varied from 3.2 g kg<sup>-1</sup> in control to 4.7 g kg<sup>-1</sup> in treatment with 25kg N through FYM. There was spectacular hike in the content of N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O in all the nutrient treatments over control. Organic matter addition is very crucial for soil health and crop production. Saha et al (2007) from a 7-year-long field trial had also found that application of cow dung at the rate of 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (ovendry basis) once a year at the time of Boro transplanting supplemented 50% of the fertilizer nutrients other than nitrogen in the subsequent crop of the cropping pattern. The application of cow dung and dhaincha along with chemical fertilizers not only increased organic C, total N, available P, and available S but also increased exchangeable K, available Zn, available iron (Fe), and available manganese (Mn) in soil. Considering the three cropping systems together, application of FYM to supply 15 kg N along with chemical fertilizer (urea) to supply 20 kg nitrogen + 40 kg  $P_2O_5$  + 40 kg  $K_2O$  was found to be the most effective (2.23 t ha<sup>-1</sup> REY) followed by the same dose of FYM with 10 kg N through fertilizer  $+ 40 \text{ kg P}_{2}\text{O}_{5} + 40 \text{ kg K}_{2}\text{O} (2.19 \text{ t ha}^{-1}\text{REY})$ . The best treatment registered 37% higher REY over the recommended fertilizer dose.

## REFERENCES

Barik A K, Arindam Das, Giri A K and Chattopadhyay G N 2006. Effect of integrated plant nutrient management on growth, yield and production economics of wet season rice (*Oryza sativa*). Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 76(11): 657-660.

- Behera B, Maruthi Sankar GR, Mohanty SK, Mishra A and Rabindra Chari G 2009. Sustainable and effective fertilizer management for rice + Pigeonpea intercropping under subhumid alfisols. e- Planet. 7(1): 20-25.
- Gomez K A and Gomez A A 1981. Statistical procedures for agricultural research with special emphasis on rice. International Rice Research Institute. Pp. 61-67.
- Gupta Vikas, Sharma R S and Vishwakarma S K 2006. Longterm effect of integrated nutrient management on yield sustainability and soil fertility of rice (*Oryza sativa*)-wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 51(3): 160-164.
- Hegde D M 1998. Integrated nutrient management effect on rice (*Oryza sativa*)-wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) system productivity in sub-humid ecosystem. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 68 (3): 144-148.
- Jeyabal A, Palaniappan S P and Chelliah S 1999. Evaluation of integrated nutrient management techniques in rice. Oryza. 36 (3): 263-265.
- Medhi D N, Sarma A C and Medhi B D 2002. Effect of integrated nutrient management on rice-linseed cropping sequence in high rainfall areas of Assam. Annals of Agricultural Research. 23 (1): 17-21.
- Mishra A, Behera B, Pal, A K, Senapati H K, Mohanty S K, Subudhi C R, Mishra S and Nayak SC 2011. Long term effect of different manure and fertilizer treatments on grain yield of upland rice. Oryza. 48 (2): 132-136.

#### Oryza Vol. 49. No. 4, 2012 (273-279)

- Panda D 2005. Integrated nutrient management in rice. In: Rice-in-Indian-perspective, Ed. Sharma, S D and Nayak, B C. (Part 1 and 2). Published in Current Trends in Life Science Vol. 25 by Today and Tomorrow's Printers & Publishers, New Delhi, India. pp. 709-717.
- Saha P K, Ishaque M, Saleque M A, Miah M A M, Panaullah G M and Bhuiyan N I 2007. Long-term integrated nutrient management for rice-based cropping pattern: effect on growth, yield, nutrient uptake, nutrient balance sheet, and soil fertility. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis. 38 (5/6): 579-610.
- Singh G, Kumar T, Kumar V, Singh R G, Sharma R B 2002. Effect of integrated nutrient management on transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa*) and its residual effect on succeeding wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) crop in rainfed lowlands. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 47 (3): 311-317.
- Singh Fateh, Ravindra Kumar and Pal Samir 2003. Integrated nutrient management in rice-wheat cropping system for sustainable productivity. Progressive Agriculture, 3 (1-2): 115-116.